

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,791	01/07/2004	Paul Q. Anziano	MTGY0001-101	MTGY0001-101 6578	
35145 7	7590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER HILL, MYRON G		
COZEN O' C	ONNOR, P. C.				
	HA, PA 19103-3508		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1648	-	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/00/2006	DATE MAILED: 02/00/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/752,791	ANZIANO, PAUL Q.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Myron G. Hill	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/7/0	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1/7/04</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·		rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Staç	ge <sup>'</sup>			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/752,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1- 6, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule that encodes an exon 3 deleted MnSOD, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- If Applicant elects group I, then Applicant must elect one sequence for examination.
- II. Claim 7, drawn to a method to make a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- III. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to an isolated polypeptide, classified in class530, subclass 300.
- IV. Claims 10 and 11, drawn to an isolated antibody, classified in class530, subclass 387.1.
- V. Claim 12, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid probe comprising SEQID# 3, classified in class 536, subclass 24.31.
- VI. Claim 13, drawn to a method that modulates the expression of a peptide claims 1 or 2, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- VII. Claim 14, drawn to method that modulates at least one activity of a peptide of claims 1 or 2, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,791

Art Unit: 1648

VIII. Claims 15- 18, drawn to a method of diagnosing oxidative stress by binding an mRNA, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

- IX. Claims 19- 23, drawn to a method of diagnosing oxidative stress by binding a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- X. Claims 24- 26, drawn to a method of promoting death of a cell comprising modulating the level of nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- XI. Claims 27- 9, drawn to a method of inhibiting death of a cell, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- XII. Claim 30, drawn to a method of promoting death of a cell by exposing a cell to the polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, VI, VIII, X, XI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case nucleic acid of claim 1 can be used to make a peptide (group II) or in the methods to modulate or diagnose (groups VI, VII, VIII, X, XI).

Inventions I and IV, V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have

Application/Control Number: 10/752,791

Art Unit: 1648

different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different structures (nucleic acid, protein and antibody).

Inventions II, VI, VIII, X and XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different methods that use different starting materials (nucleic acids or peptides) and have different results (modulating nucleic acid expression or modulating peptide activity, promoting or inhibiting cell death and methods of treating or diagnosing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and that the different products and the different methods have searches that are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

If Applicant elects Group I, Applicant is additionally required to elect a single Sequence identified by a specific sequence identification number from claim 1, as indicated above. The recited sequences have different structures one

Application/Control Number: 10/752,791

**Art Unit: 1648** 

from other and the search for the sequences would be unduly burdensome. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each of the sequence(s) recited constitutes an <u>independent and patentably</u> distinct invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai. In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)." 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner January 24, 2005

JAMES HOUSEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1000